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#### Abstract

Nanostructured spherical spinel lithium manganate with about 30 nm in diameter was synthesized for the first time by explosive method. The water-solubility explosive was prepared using a simple facility at room temperature. The growth of lithium manganate via detonation reaction was investigated with respect to the presence of an energetic precursor, such as the metallic nitrate and the degree of confinement of the explosive charge. The detonation products were characterized by scanning electron microscopy. Powder X-ray diffraction and transmission electron microscopy were used to characterize the products. Lithium manganate with spherical morphology and more uniform secondary particles, with smaller primary particles of diameters from 10 to 50 nm and a variety of morphologies were found. Lithium manganate with a fine spherical morphology different from that of the normal spinel is formed after detonation wave treatment due to the very high quenching rate. It might also provide a cheap large-scale synthesis method. Explosive detonation is strongly nonequilibrium processes, generating a short duration of high pressure and high temperature. Free metal atoms are first released with the decomposition of explosives, and then theses metal and oxygen atoms are rearranged, coagulated and finally crystallized into lithium manganate during the expansion of detonation process.
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## Introduction

The spinel lithium manganate synthesized by the conventional method has several disadvantages, such as inhomogeneity, irregular morphology, large particle size, broad particle size distribution, high synthesis temperature and repeated grinding. To overcome the disadvantages of solid-state reaction, several soft chemistry methods, such as hydrothermal method, sol-gel, solvothermal method, coprecipitation and pechini process have been developed. Among these methods, solvothermal method should use organic agents. It is toxic and unsafe. The sol-gel, coprecipitation and pechini process need further calcinations and grinding. The hydrothermal synthesis is a powerful method to prepare various oxides. The advantage features of this method are to control the morphology, the particle size and the crystalline of products. However, most spinel Li-Mn-O products synthesized by the hydrothermal method reported in literature were powders with irregular shapes.
A. Singhal et al. [1] thought that nanostructured intercalating electrodes offer immense potential for significantly enhancing the performance of rechargeable rocking chair.

Shuhua Ma et al. [2] said that spinel structure Li-Mn-O compounds are the most promising lithium ion insertion electrode materials for rechargeable lithium ion batteries because of a number of advantages over their alternatives, e.g., a lower cost compared with $\mathrm{LiCoO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{LiNiO}_{2}$, a high cell voltage, and a high environmental tolerance, etc. The excess of Li and substitution of Cr to Mn and small surface area impeded the occurrence of the split. The split is presumably considered relating to the disproportionation dissolution of stoichiometric spinel intensified by the elevated temperatures in slightly acidic electrolyte due to residual water impurity. Li-Mn-O can selectively insert Li from an aqueous solution [3].

Mitsuharu Tabuchi et al. [4] reported that the excess Li could substitute the Mn ion on the 16 d site in the spinel structure. And they introduced excellent cycling behavior for nonstoichiometric $\mathrm{Li}_{1.0} \mathrm{Mn}_{1.93} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. Jong-Uk Kim et al. [5] investigated characteristics of charge/discharge cycling of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. I.J. Davidson et al. [6] reported that using solid-state reactions method, however, the powder preparation route is also quite complicated, for example, several times calcinations and subsequent physical grindings. Moreover, its electrochemical properties are greatly dependent on its crystalline particle size [7].

Zhanqiang Liu et al. [8] synthesized nanostructured spherical spinel lithium manganese oxide (Li-Mn-O) with about 200 nm in diameter for the first time by mild hydrothermal method, and studied systematically the influence of the reaction temperature and the time of formation of the nanostructures.

Until now, there are a few reports concerning high-capacity maintainable lithium manganate synthesized by detonation of explosives, which is a kind of promising technique for synthesis of lithium transition metal oxide cathodes. Here, for the first time, lithium manganate was synthesized from water-solubility explosive with epispastic's polystyrene (EPS).

## Experimental

## Water-solubility explosive preparation

The water-solubility explosive was prepared using a simple facility consisting of a container equipped with a stirrer. $\mathrm{LiNO}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}, \mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ ( $50 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ solution in water) and glycol were mixed in a desired proportions and stirred until a uniform solution was formed. The solution of oxidizers and glycol was agitated at room temperature and then slowly added to a transparent polyester bottle, in which a premixed mixture of epispastic polystyrene (EPS) fine spheres with fine cyclotrimethylene trinitramine (RDX) powders were filled in fully. The water-solubility explosive charges were put into the thin plastic bottle fully with an approximate $660 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3}$ density and the mass was fixed at 0.420 kg of explosive matter. For each charge an electric No. 8 initiator and a 0.008 kg plastic RDX booster were used. The detonation experiments were performed in an explosive chamber (shown in Figure 1).


Figure 1. Schematic explosive chamber:
1 - powerline; 2 - explosive chamber; 3 - detonator; 4 - explosive;
5 - lifting rope; 6 - exhausting hole; 7 - deslagging pipe.

This method provides a very fast quenching space. The air surrounding the charge provides efficient cooling of detonation products and thus reduces the reuniting of obtained nanoparticles. The detonation experiments were done in a steel tank of $14.1 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$. The explosive charge was placed in a polyethylene bag, which was suspended at the tank center. The detonation products contained some impurities such as fragments from the tank walls $\left(\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$, copper and steel from the detonator, and PE from the bag and the leg wires of the detonator. Large size impurities were eliminated by simple filtration of the suspension. The solid residue was washed thoroughly and dried. All the final products were analyzed by X-ray diffractometry (XRD) $\left[\lambda_{\text {СиК } \alpha}=1.5406 \AA\right.$ ] in the range of $10-80^{\circ}(2 \theta)$. So for all the experiments, the detonation of water-solubility explosives synthesized a black powder containing mainly ultradispersed composite oxides of lithium manganate.

## Experimental apparatus for samples

After annealing at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours, the detonation soot was studied by use of XRD. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) analysis was performed with JEOL JEM-1200EX for detonation synthesized lithium manganate. XRD analysis was performed on an XRD-6000 Shimadzu diffractometer using $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ irradiation with input power of 50 kV and 150 mA . The divergence slit angle, scattering slit angle and receiving slit height were selected as $2,2^{\circ}$, and 0.3 mm . The diffraction intensities were measured every step $0.028^{\circ}$ for 1 s in the $2 \theta$ range from 10 to $80^{\circ}$ at room temperature ( 293 K ). The shape and size of the as-obtained particles were observed by transmission electron microscope (TEM, Tecnai G ${ }^{2} 20$ S-twin).

## Results and Discussion

## Detonation temperature and pressure

The exact mechanism of the formation of such nano-grains in the watersolubility explosive derived so far is poorly understood.

The general explosion reaction equation is achieved.
$1.10 \mathrm{LiNO}_{3}+1.10 \mathrm{Mn}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 10 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+1.19 \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}+3.38 \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{NO}_{3}+$ $0.66 \mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6}+0.38 \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{8} \rightarrow 0.55 \mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.22 \mathrm{MnO}_{2}+0.44 \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}+2.84 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+$ $7.01 \mathrm{~N}_{2}+24.83 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+4.56 \mathrm{CO}$

We can figure out the detonation temperature $t$ and pressure $P_{C J}$ of watersolubility explosives as follows.

A method of estimating $P_{C J}$ at $\rho$ invokes the thermochemical properties [9].

Their empirical relationships are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi=N M^{1 / 2} Q^{1 / 2},  \tag{1}\\
& \text { and } \\
& P_{C J}=1.56 \rho_{0}^{2} \phi \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where: $N$ is moles of gaseous detonation products per g of water-gel explosive ( mol gas $/ \mathrm{g}$ explosive), $M$ is average molecular weight of detonation product gas ( g gas $/ \mathrm{mol}$ gas), and $Q$ is chemical energy of detonation reaction ( $\mathrm{cal} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ).

If we consider the water of detonation products in liquid state,
$Q_{P\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})\right]}=0.55 \times(-595.8)+0.22 \times(-521.5)+0.44 \times(-959.0)+2.84 \times(-393.7)$ $+24.83 \times(-242.0)+4.56 \times(-110.52)-1.10 \times(-482.2)-1.10 \times(-3432.6)-1.19 \times(-452.3)$ $-3.38 \times(-340.0)-0.66 \times(+62.0)-0.38 \times(+220.2)=-327.7-114.7-422.0$
$-1118.1-6008.9-504.0+530.4+3775.9+538.2+1149.2-40.9-83.7$ $=-2626.3\left(\mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}\right)$
$Q_{v\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})\right]}=2626.3 \mathrm{~kJ}+(2.84+7.01+24.83+4.56) \times 8.314 \times 10^{-3} \times 298.15 \mathrm{~kJ}$ $=2723.6 \mathrm{~kJ}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{v i}=a+b t  \tag{3}\\
& Q_{v}=(a+b t) t  \tag{4}\\
& t=\frac{-a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4 b Q_{v}}}{2 b} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

For double atoms in gas phase,
$C_{v}=20.10+1.88 \times 10^{-3} t$
For $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$,
$C_{v}=16.72+8.99 \times 10^{-3} t$
For $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$,
$C_{v}=37.62+2.42 \times 10^{-3} t$
For crystals (from the Dulong-Petit's law),
$C_{\nu}=3 R=24.94$
$C_{v}=(7.01+4.56) \times\left(20.10+1.88 \times 10^{-3} t\right)+(0.55+0.22) \times(3 \times 24.94)+$ $0.44 \times(5 \times 24.94)+2.84 \times\left(37.62+2.42 \times 10^{-3} t\right)+24.83 \times\left(16.72+8.99 \times 10^{-3} t\right)$
$=232.56+21.75 \times 10^{-3} t+57.61+54.87+106.84+6.87 \times 10^{-3} t+415.16+$ $223.22 \times 10^{-3} t=867.04+251.84 \times 10^{-3} t$
$t=2288.6 \mathrm{~K}$
$N=(2.84+7.01+24.83+4.56) / 1000=0.03924\left(\mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)$
$M=(2.84 \times 44+7.01 \times 28+24.83 \times 18+4.56 \times 28) / 39.24=22.83\left(\mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)$
$Q=Q_{v}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})\right] / 4.18=651.6\left(\mathrm{cal} \mathrm{g} \mathrm{g}^{-1}\right)$
$\phi=0.03924 \times 22.83^{1 / 2} 651.6^{1 / 2}=4.787$
$P_{C J}=1.56 \times 0.660^{2} \times 4.787=3.25(\mathrm{GPa})$

## XRD structural characterization of samples

Figure 2 shows an XRD pattern of the dynamically synthesized lithium manganate. We obtained a nanosized texture containing spinel lithium manganate. It is obvious that the Bragg reflection peaks of the dynamically synthesized lithium manganate are broadened, which may result form small grain size and/or presence of microstrain. Here the mean grain size for detonation synthesized lithium manganate refers to the mean size of crystallites of polycrystalline particles. XRD analyses were conducted at a fixed temperature in the present study; a precise determination of the structural parameters need more experiments including both high temperature and low temperature experiments. The pattern represents the peak positions expected for $\mathrm{Li}_{1.32} \mathrm{Mn}_{1.68} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (JCPDS file n. 88-0459).


Figure 2. XRD pattern of lithium manganate.
Explosive detonation is strongly nonequilibrium process, generating a short duration of high pressure and high temperature. A most intense $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ line is seen on the x-ray pattern of these products.
V. Berbenni et al. [10] reached that $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ forms directly and its formation is completed within $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and at $\mathrm{T}>820^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{LiMn}{ }_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ reversibly decomposes to $\mathrm{LiMnO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ with an enthalpy of $30.05 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.

The average grain size $(D)$ was measured from the XRD peak using the Scherrer formula [11]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=0.9 \frac{\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda=0.15418 \mathrm{~nm}, \theta$ is the Bragg angle of the peak, and $\beta$ is the full width at half maximum.

The calculated mean grain sizes were 27.10 nm and the crystal constant $a=0.8158 \mathrm{~nm}$ for detonation synthesized spinel lithium manganate. P. Piszora et al. [12] applied the computer modelling techniques and investigated the $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Mn}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{4+}$ ion distribution by calculating the lattice energy, combined with energy minimisation procedures, using the General Utility Lattice Program (GULP), a program designed for simulation of ionic and semi-ionic solids, based on interatomic potential models. In the series with a nominal $\mathrm{Li}_{x} \mathrm{Mn}_{3-x} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ stoichiometry, with $0.55 \leq x \leq 2.0$, the well-crystallized cubic spinel phase was accompanied by the tetragonal hausmannite, $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, and/or bixbyite, $\alpha-\mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, when $x<1$, whereas the monoclinic $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{MnO}_{3}$ phase was formed beside the spinel phase, for $x>1.33$ [12].
C. M. Julien et al. [13] concluded that spinel structure $\lambda-\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ is primarily characterised by structural groups as follows. (1) $\mathrm{MnO}_{6}$ octahedra connected to one another in three dimensions by edge sharing; (2) $\mathrm{LiO}_{4}$ tetrahedra sharing each of their four corners with a different $\mathrm{MnO}_{6}$ unit but essentially isolated from one another; (3) a three-dimensional network of octahedral $16 c$ and tetrahedral (primarily $8 a$ ) sites, through which lithium ions can move through the lattice. Lithium-/manganese-oxide spinels are, currently, of technologic interest as insertion electrodes for rechargeable 4-V lithium batteries.

## SEM and TEM characterization of samples

Scanning electron microscopy and transmission electron microscopy were used to characterize the products. Figures 3 and 4 are morphologies taken by SEM and TEM respectively. Lithium manganate with 30 nm spherical morphology and more uniform secondary particles, with smaller primary particles of diameters from 10 to 50 nm and a variety of morphologies were found.

The SEM micrograph showed agglomeration of primary particles with varied agglomerate size. Although TEM picture shows smaller particles, which are aggregated further to form agglomeration, varied sizes, it is necessary to obtain the information regarding smallest primary particles. For this purpose, the TEM study and selected area electron diffraction (SAED) were shown in Figure 4. The SAED pattern of zinc oxide nanometer powders shows a clear diffraction ring and varied points corresponding to the crystal planes of phase.


Figure 3. SEM image of detonation synthesized lithium manganate.


Figure 4. TEM image of detonation synthesized lithium manganate.

Troyanov et al. [14] reported that for a mixture of $20 \%$ gibbsite and $80 \%$ hexogen the theoretical temperature of the explosion is about $2000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hexogen $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ decomposes into $\mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}$ and N under explosion. The positive oxygen balance governs the sufficient supply of oxygen in the final effluxes of the explosion.

Moon-Kyu Kim et al. [15] concluded that Li-Mn-O synthesized by the emulsion drying shows most capacity loss in the high-voltage region and no capacity loss in the voltage-drop region in the 4 V range; And no capacity loss in the voltagedrop region of the 4 V range indicates that the phase transformation induced by John-Teller distortion is not the cause of capacity loss in the 4 V range.

Yang-Soo Kim et al. [16] studied the electronic structure and chemical bonding of the $\mathrm{LiM}_{0.5} \mathrm{Mn}_{1.5} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ with the use of the $\mathrm{DV}-\mathrm{X} \alpha$ molecular orbital method.

All the elements of Hamiltonian and overlap matrices were calculated numerically on the basis of the discrete variational integration scheme. Jun Sugiyama et al. [17] discussed the mechanism for formation of oxygen defects using a defect cluster model. $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4-\delta}$. The $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4-\delta}$ samples with $3.33<4-\delta \leq 3.75$ were a mixture of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4-\delta}, \mathrm{LiMnO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. Therefore, the maximum oxygen deficiency was found to be $\delta_{\mathrm{cr}}=0.2$.

Yongyao Xia et al. [18] suggested that a single spinel phase exists in the range of $1.0<x<1.14$ in $\mathrm{Li}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. Vincenzo Massarotti et al. [19] concluded that fast cooling inhibited the equilibrium with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. This cubic phase is stable at high temperature and decomposes upon cooling ( $\mathrm{T} \leq 800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) leaving just the $\mathrm{Mn}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and $o-\mathrm{LiMnO}_{2}$ phases [20]. Ramesh Chitrakar et al. [21] obtained cubic $\mathrm{Li}_{1.6} \mathrm{Mn}_{1.6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ by heating $o-\mathrm{LiMnO}_{2}$ at $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; lithium could be extracted from $\mathrm{Li}_{1.6} \mathrm{Mn}_{1.6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ with acid to form cubic $\mathrm{H}_{1.6} \mathrm{Mn}_{1.6} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ for possible applications in extraction of lithium from seawater. The simple and efficient approach employed for the nano-lithium and manganese oxide products can be successfully used for the fabrication of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. Indeed, the advantage of the ability to induce surface modification of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ makes the method useful as well as usual hightechnological methods. Further investigations of different cases may lead to new opportunity for the fabrication of $\mathrm{LiMn}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ powders with improved properties based on the simple method of detonation synthesis. Y. Shin et al. [22] reached that samples with larger and more uniform secondary particles, but with smaller primary particles, are found to give better cyclability despite a higher amount of manganese dissolution arising from smaller primary particles.

## Conclusions

Lithium manganate with a fine spherical morphology different from that of the normal spinel is formed after detonation wave treatment due to the very high quenching rate. It might also provide a cheap large-scale synthesis method. Explosive detonation is strongly nonequilibrium processes, generating a short duration of high pressure and high temperature. Free metal atoms are first released with the decomposition of explosives, and then theses metal and oxygen atoms are rearranged, coagulated and finally crystallized into lithium manganate during the expansion of detonation process. The inherent short duration, high heating rate $\left(10^{10}-10^{11} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ and high cooling rate $\left(10^{8}-10^{9} \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ prevent the lithium manganate crystallites from growing into larger sizes and induce considerable lattice distortion.
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